July 2008



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

2007-2008 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards, known as *Learning Results*, adopted by the Maine Legislature in 1997. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning Test™ (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure achievement. As last year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning Test™ was augmented with 18 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's *Learning Results*. Additionally, Science and Technology testing resumed this year after a two-year hiatus. The combined tests form the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

Because the MHSA Science & Technology achievement level standards had not been revised in 2006 like all other disciplines, it was necessary to revise the standards for that discipline this spring. The new achievement level standards are the result of a comprehensive process informed by Maine teachers and reviewed by advisory committees. The achievement level standards for the 2008 Critical Reading, Writing and Mathematics sections of the MHSA were not changed.

These 2007-2008 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance in critical reading, mathematics, writing, and science & technology reported according to the achievement standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores for most students may also be used for college admission, scores for students who received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board are not college reportable.

The state results reflect scores based on SAT, Math-A, and Science & Technology test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The MHSA employs a design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics and science & technology questions, and in all subjects, select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the *Learning Results* and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Susan A. Lendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron
Commissioner of Education



High School Report

Test Date: May 2008 ID: 12811831

SAU: Boothbay-Boothbay Hbr CSD

School: Boothbay Region High School

Contents of the Report

The report is divided into six main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Торіс	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9
Science Results	10-11



SUMMARY OF SCORES


Test Date: May 2008


SAU: Boothbay-Boothbay Hbr CSD School: Boothbay Region High School


Summary of School, SAU, and State Scores


Average Scaled Score

Year			
	School	SAU	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007 2007–2008	1140 1135	1140 1134	1141 1141
Mathematics 2006–2007 2007–2008	1141 1138	1141 1137	1140 1141
Writing 2006–2007 2007–2008	1140 1137	1140 1136	1141 1140
Science 2007–2008	1137	1136	1141











SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION

Test Date: May 2008

		En	rol	lme	ent¹								CC	N	ΓEN	TI	AR	EΑ	PA	RI	TC	IPA	TIC	N ²					
CATEGORY OF	d	luring	j test	ing v	vindo	w		С	ritical	Read	ing				Mathe	matic	s				Wri	ting					Scie	ence	
PARTICIPATION	Scl	hool	S	AU	Si	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	Sta	ate	Sch	nool	S	AU	Sta	ate	Sc	hool	S	AU	St	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	State
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %
Total number of students	70	100	57	100	15604	100	67	96	55	96	14875	96	69	99	57	100	15165	97	67	96	55	96	14869	96	68	97	56	98	14961 96
Ethnicity African American/Black	0	0	0	0	305	2	0	0	0	0	261	86	0	0	0	0	286	95	0	0	0	0	260	86	0	0	0	0	280 93
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0	0	0	0	103	1	0	0	0	0	95	93	0	0	0	0	97	95	0	0	0	0	95	93	0	0	0	0	93 91
Asian or Pacific Islander	1	1	0	0	215	1	1	100	0	0	194	90	1	100	0	0	202	94	1	100	0	0	194	90	1	100	0	0	200 93
Hispanic	1	1	1	2	140	1	1	100	1	100	118	84	1	100	1	100	123	88	1	100	1	100	118	84	1	100	1	100	120 86
Caucasian/White	68	97	56	98	14841	95	65	96	54	96	14207	96	67	99	56	100	14457	98	65	96	54	96	14202	96	66	97	55	98	14268 96
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0
Identified disability	19	27	13	23	2247	14	18	95	13	100	2065	93	18	95	13	100	2138	96	18	95	13	100	2060	92	18	95	13	100	2081 93
Current LEP	1	1	1	2	648	4	1	100	1	100	508	79	1	100	1	100	564	87	1	100	1	100	507	78	1	100	1	100	534 83
Economically disadvantaged	8	11	6	11	4028	26	7	88	6	100	3682	92	7	88	6	100	3831	95	7	88	6	100	3679	92	7	88	6	100	3755 94
Migrant	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	5 100

MODE OF			Cri	itical	Readi	ng				Mathe	ematic	s				Wri	ting					Scie	ence		
	S	choo	ı	S	AU .	St	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	Sta	ate	Scl	nool	S	ΑU	Sta	ate	Sc	nool	S	AU	St	tate
PARTICIPATION ³	N	9	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Participation without accommodations	51	7	73	42	74	13042	84	53	76	44	77	13332	85	51	73	42	74	13042	84	52	74	43	75	13192	. 85
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	4		8	2	5	739	6	4	8	2	5	810	6	4	8	2	5	739	6	4	8	2	5	791	6
LEP	0	-	0	0	0	399	3	0	0	0	0	456	3	0	0	0	0	399	3	0	0	0	0	436	3
504 plan	0	(0	0	0	196	2	0	0	0	0	204	2	0	0	0	0	196	2	0	0	0	0	201	2
Participation with accommodations	16	2	23	12	21	1623	10	16	23	12	21	1624	10	16	23	12	21	1625	10	16	23	12	21	1567	10
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	14	8	38	10	83	1117	69	14	88	10	83	1119	69	14	88	10	83	1119	69	14	88	10	83	1088	69
LEP	1	(6	1	8	93	6	1	6	1	8	93	6	1	6	1	8	93	6	1	6	1	8	83	5
504 plan	0	(0	0	0	58	4	0	0	0	0	58	4	0	0	0	0	58	4	0	0	0	0	55	4
Other	1	(6	1	8	367	23	1	6	1	8	366	23	1	6	1	8	367	23	1	6	1	8	353	23
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)	0	(0	1	2	209	1	0	0	1	2	209	1	0	0	1	2	202	1	0	0	1	2	202	1
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	0	(0	1	100	209	100	0	0	1	100	209	100	0	0	1	100	202	100	0	0	1	100	202	100
LEP	0	(0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7
504 plan	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP	0		0	0	0	1	0																		
Approved non-participation – special consideration	0		0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	38	0
Non-participation – other	3		4	2	4	693	4	1	1	0	0	399	3	3	4	2	4	699	4	2	3	1	2	605	4

¹ Percents are the percentage of students enrolled in each participation category.
3 Percents are the percentage of students in each content area by mode.

² Percents are the percentage of students, including those who participated through alternate assessment (PAAP), who participated in the content area.

Maine High School Assessment

CRITICAL READING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	-	ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	'EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling iden		Sch	nool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple items in an "on demand" setting.	-choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006	4	6	4	7	1079	7
	2006-2007	8	13	8	13	1168	8
	2007-2008	1	1	1	2	1184	8
	Cum. Total*	13	7	13	7	3431	8
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006	36	54	33	55	5697	38
	2006-2007	19	30	18	30	5714	38
	2007-2008	25	37	19	35	5885	40
	Cum. Total*	80	40	70	40	17296	39
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006	18	27	15	25	4772	32
	2006-2007	21	33	19	31	4728	31
	2007-2008	20	30	16	30	4093	28
	Cum. Total*	59	30	50	29	13593	30
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006	9	13	8	13	3595	24
	2006-2007	16	25	16	26	3444	23
	2007-2008	21	31	18	33	3417	23
	Cum. Total*	46	23	42	24	10456	23



CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

DEDODTING					Sch	iool							SA	AU					St	ate		_
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P	ı	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	30016
All Students	67	1	1	25	37	20	30	21	31	1135	54	2	35	30	33	1134	14579	8	40	28	23	1141
Ethnicity African American/Black	0										0						248 94	4	21	27	48	1132
American Indian or Native Alaskan Asian or Pacific Islander	1										0						192	5 4	27 35	28 30	40 31	1134 1138
Hispanic	1										1						115	5	32	26	37	1136
Caucasian/White Not Reported	65 0	1	2	25	38	18	28	21	32	1135	53 0	2	36	28	34	1134	13930 0	8	41	28	23	1141
dentified disability						_						_										
/es	18 49	0 1	0	0 25	0 51	5 15	28 31	13 8	72 16	1123 1140	12 42	0 2	0 45	17 33	83 19	1121 1138	1823	1 9	9	24	65 17	1126 1143
No	49	ļ	2	25	51	15	31	8	16	1140	42	2	45	33	19	1138	12756	9	45	29	17	1143
Current LEP Yes	1										1						488	3	22	24	52	1132
No.	66	1	2	25	38	20	30	20	30	1136	53	2	36	30	32	1135	14091	8	41	28	22	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes .	7	0	0	3	43	3	43	1	14	1135	6	0	33	50	17	1134	3545	3	28	30	39	1134
No	60	1	2	22	37	17	28	20	33	1135	48	2	35	27	35	1134	11034	10	44	27	19	1143
Migrant Yes	0										0						5	20	0	40	40	1136
No	67	1	1	25	37	20	30	21	31	1135	54	2	35	30	33	1134	14574	8	40	28	23	1141
Gender																						
Female	35	1	3	16	46	9	26	9	26	1139	27	4	44	26	26	1138	7237	8	42	30	19	1142
Male	32	0	0	9	28	11	34	12	38	1132	27 0	0	26	33	41	1130	7342 0	8	38	26	28	1140
Not Reported											0						0					
Fitle 1A targeted program																	400	0		00	04	1107
∕es No	0 67	1	1	25	37	20	30	21	31	1135	0 54	2	35	30	33	1134	103 14476	0 8	9 41	30 28	61 23	1127 1141
NU	0,	ı	'	25	37	20	30	41	JI	1133	34		35	30	33	1104	14470	٥	41	20	20	1141
Gifted/talented program																	005	40	40	4	0	1101
Yes	0 67	4	1	25	27	20	20	21	21	1105	0	,	25	20	22	1124	295	48 7	48 40	4	0	1161 1140
No	6/	1	1	25	37	20	30	21	31	1135	54	2	35	30	33	1134	14284	/	40	29	24	1140



MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: Boothbay-Boothbay Hbr CSD School: Boothbay Region High School

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL* on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified School SAU State standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Ν % Ν % Ν % Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections 2006-2007 578 1 4 among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize 2 1 2007-2008 637 information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1161-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. 2006-2007 28 44 27 44 5481 36 The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and 17 25 15 27 2007-2008 5508 37 apply concepts. (scaled score 1141-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among 2006-2007 22 13 21 4754 31 14 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve 25 36 19 2007-2008 5065 34 problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1133-1140) Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among 2006-2007 33 20 33 4607 30 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and 2007-2008 25 36 21 38 3660 25 apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)



MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

DEDORTING					Sch	iool							S	AU .					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E	ı	М		Р	1	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Jule	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	JCOIE
All Students	69	2	3	17	25	25	36	25	36	1138	56	2	27	34	38	1137	14870	4	37	34	25	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	0										0			-			274	1	12	31	57	1133
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						96	2	24	30	44	1136
Asian or Pacific Islander	1										0						200	8	37	34	22	1142
Hispanic	1										1			1			120	3	23	32	43	1138
Caucasian/White	67	2	3	17	25	23	34	25	37	1137	55	2	27	33	38	1137	14180	4	38	34	24	1141
Not Reported	0	2		''	25	20	34	25	37	1107	0		21	30	30	1107	0	7	30	34	24	''4'
Not neported	"										"						ľ					
dentified disability									-													
Yes	18	0	0	0	0	6	33	12	67	1131	12	0	0	33	67	1129	1896	0	8	22	70	1130
No	51	2	4	17	33	19	37	13	25	1140	44	2	34	34	30	1139	12974	5	41	36	18	1142
Current LEP									-											-		
Yes	1										1			-			545	3	16	28	53	1135
No	68	2	3	17	25	25	37	24	35	1138	55	2	27	35	36	1137	14325	4	38	34	24	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	7	0	0	3	43	3	43	1	14	1139	6	0	33	50	17	1138	3695	1	22	37	40	1136
No	62	2	3	14	23	22	35	24	39	1137	50	2	26	32	40	1137	11175	5	42	33	19	1142
NO	02	2	٥	14	23	22	33	24	39	1137	50	4	20	32	40	1137	11175	5	42	33	19	1142
Migrant																						
Yes	0								į		0						5	20	20	40	20	1144
No	69	2	3	17	25	25	36	25	36	1138	56	2	27	34	38	1137	14865	4	37	34	25	1141
Gender																						
Female	36	1	3	10	28	13	36	12	33	1138	28	4	32	29	36	1138	7362	3	36	36	24	1140
Male	33	1	3	7	21	12	36	13	39	1137	28	0	21	39	39	1136	7508	5	38	32	25	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
	0										0						103	0		41	51	1134
Yes		0		17	0.5	05	00	0.5	00	1100			07	0.4	00	1107			8	!	1	
No	69	2	3	17	25	25	36	25	36	1138	56	2	27	34	38	1137	14767	4	37	34	24	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						296	35	59	5	0	1158
No	69	2	3	17	25	25	36	25	36	1138	56	2	27	34	38	1137	14574	4	37	35	25	1140
		-		''		===			""		"	_					''			""		0
					-				į					İ	į					-	İ	



WRITING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: Boothbay-Boothbay Hbr CSD School: Boothbay Region High School

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's *Learning Results*. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting.

Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors;

essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding

essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of

that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an

ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1161-1180)

and mechanics. (scaled score 1141-1160)

and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's

critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The

Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions

effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate

examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused,

demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage,

Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage

errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's

inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is

generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas

essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so

Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage

errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's

essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking,

with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay

is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)

and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1129-1140)

's responses Results.	STI	JDENTS A	AT EACH A	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	/EL
tified	Sch	iool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
ation of g.	N	%	N	%	N	%
2005-2006	6	9	6	10	952	6
2006-2007	8	13	8	13	937	6
2007-2008	2	3	0	0	962	7
Cum. Total*	16	8	14	8	2851	6
2005-2006	39	58	35	58	6055	40
2006-2007	22	34	21	34	6167	41
2007-2008	23	34	20	37	5564	38
Cum. Total*	84	42	76	43	17786	40
2005-2006	17	25	15	25	4916	32
2006-2007	17	27	16	26	4723	31
2007-2008	21	31	17	31	4679	32
Cum. Total*	55	28	48	27	14318	32
2005-2006	5	7	4	7	3221	21
2006-2007	17	27	16	26	3227	21
2007-2008	21	31	17	31	3376	23
Cum. Total*	43	22	37	21	9824	22



WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

					Scł	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		Р		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	67	2	3	23	34	21	31	21	31	1137	54	0	37	31	31	1136	14581	7	38	32	23	1140
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	0										0						248	2	19	30	49	1131
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						94	3	19	38	39	1133
Asian or Pacific Islander	1										0						192	6	30	34	30	1137
Hispanic	1										1						115	2	30	36	33	1136
Caucasian/White	65	2	3	23	35	19	29	21	32	1137	53	0	38	30	32	1136	13932	7	39	32	22	1140
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	18	0	0	0	0	4	22	14	78	1123	12	0	0	17	83	1121	1825	1	7	23	69	1125
No	49	2	4	23	47	17	35	7	14	1141	42	0	48	36	17	1140	12756	7	43	33	17	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes	1 1										1						488	3	19	29	49	1131
No	66	2	3	23	35	21	32	20	30	1137	53	0	38	32	30	1136	14093	7	39	32	22	1140
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	7	0	0	2	29	4	57	1	14	1135	6	0	33	50	17	1135	3546	2	25	35	38	1134
No	60	2	3	21	35	17	28	20	33	1137	48	0	38	29	33	1136	11035	8	42	31	18	1142
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						5	20	0	20	60	1131
No	67	2	3	23	34	21	31	21	31	1137	54	0	37	31	31	1136	14576	7	38	32	23	1140
Gender																						
Female	35	2	6	15	43	12	34	6	17	1140	27	0	48	37	15	1139	7239	8	43	33	17	1142
Male	32	0	0	8	25	9	28	15	47	1133	27	0	26	26	48	1132	7342	6	34	31	30	1138
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						103	0	7	39	54	1128
No	67	2	3	23	34	21	31	21	31	1137	54	0	37	31	31	1136	14478	7	38	32	23	1140
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						295	42	53	4	0	1159
No	67	2	3	23	34	21	31	21	31	1137	54	0	37	31	31	1136	14286	6	38	33	24	1139
		-											"									
ı																						
			<u> </u>		<u>:</u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		l		<u>:</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1		<u> </u>	<u>: </u>	i	



SCIENCE RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

School

Boothbay-Boothbay Hbr CSD SAU: **Boothbay Region High School** School:

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses on state-level assessments in relation to the science standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within science at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of

STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL* SAU

State

Each content standard in the

Results, which are the basis

for science and technology

Grade Span Expectations.

expectation, which can be

found at http://www.maine.

gov/education/lsalt/gles.

Each item on the MHSA

measures a grade span

clusters shown is defined in Maine's 1997 Learning

standards within science at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combi multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setti		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult problems using the processes of scientific inquiry, and explain complex concepts using evidence and proper terminology to support and communicate logical conclusions. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2007-2008	0	0	0	0	300	2
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a general understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to analyze and solve routine problems using the processes of scientific inquiry and explain central concepts with sufficient clarity and accuracy to demonstrate general understanding. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2007-2008	18	26	14	25	5927	40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in science and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems using scientific inquiry but the quality of responses is inconsistent. Explanation of concepts may be incomplete or unclear. (scaled score 1135-1140)	2007-2008	13	19	9	16	3544	24
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in science and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and use the skills of scientific inquiry. There are many inaccuracies and explanations are illogical, incomplete, or missing. (scaled score 1100-1134)	2007-2008	37	54	32	58	4988	34

		nber	Avera	ge Point	s Attaine	d (Numbe	er and Pe	rcent)
Learning Results Content Standard Clusters		oints sible	Sch	ool	SA	ĄU	Sta	ate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Cluster 1: Life Sciences	15	27	5.32	35.5	5.19	34.6	6.41	42.7
Cluster 2: Physical Sciences	14	25	4.14	29.6	4.04	28.9	6.22	44.4
Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences	14	25	4.50	32.1	4.32	30.9	5.04	36.0
Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science	13	23	5.08	39.1	4.80	36.9	6.59	50.7

Cluster 1: Life Sciences

A. Classifying Life Forms

B. Ecology

C. Cells

Cluster 2: Physical Sciences

E. Structure of Matter

H. Energy

I. Motion

Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences

D. Continuity and Change

F. The Earth

G. The Universe

Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science J. Inquiry and Problem Solving

K. Scientific Reasoning

L. Communication

M. Implications of Science & Technology

^{*}Because science testing at the high school level resumed in 2008 after a two-year hiatus and new achievement level standards were set for the MHSA science test, historical data are not available.



SCIENCE RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

DEDORTING					Sch	ool							SA	AU					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		Р	1	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Jour	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Jule
All Students	68	0	0	18	26	13	19	37	54	1137	55	0	25	16	58	1136	14759	2	40	24	34	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	0										0						269	0	20	14	65	1134
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						92	1	24	28	47	1138
Asian or Pacific Islander	1										0						199	3	36	25	36	1140
Hispanic	1										1						118	1	26	19	54	1136
Caucasian/White	66	0	0	18	27	12	18	36	55	1137	54	0	26	17	57	1136	14081	2	41	24	33	1141
Not Reported	0	Ů		"		"-				1107	0				,	1100	0	_		-	00	''''
Not Reported											ľ											
Identified disability																						
Yes	18	0	0	0	0	4	22	14	78	1132	12	0	0	8	92	1130	1879	0	11	17	72	1133
No	50	0	0	18	36	9	18	23	46	1138	43	0	33	19	49	1138	12880	2	44	25	28	1142
Current LEP											Ι.											
Yes	1	_									1	_					519	1	18	19	62	1134
No	67	0	0	18	27	13	19	36	54	1137	54	0	26	17	57	1136	14240	2	41	24	33	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	7	0	0	3	43	1	14	3	43	1139	6	0	33	17	50	1137	3651	1	26	24	49	1137
No	61	0	0	15	25	12	20	34	56	1136	49	0	24	16	59	1136	11108	3	45	24	29	1142
Migrant													į									
Yes	0										0						5	20	40	40	0	1146
No	68	0	0	18	26	13	19	37	54	1137	55	0	25	16	58	1136	14754	2	40	24	34	1141
Gender																						
Female	36	0	0	7	19	8	22	21	58	1136	28	0	18	21	61	1135	7277	1	37	26	36	1140
Male	32	0	0	11	34	5	16	16	50	1138	27	0	33	11	56	1137	7482	3	43	22	32	1141
Not Reported	0	v			04			10	30	1100	0		- 00			1107	0	"	1		02	'''
Not ricported											ľ											
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						100	1	5	22	72	1133
No	68	0	0	18	26	13	19	37	54	1137	55	0	25	16	58	1136	14659	2	40	24	34	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
	0										0						296	10	80	5	3	1152
Yes		0		10	00	10	10	07	F.4	1407			05	10		1400		13	:	1	1	
No	68	0	0	18	26	13	19	37	54	1137	55	0	25	16	58	1136	14463	2	39	24	34	1140
			!	1	1		!	1	!	1	1	I	!	!	!	1	1	I	!	!	1	i .